UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,299	02/06/2004	Brian Cox	388700-612-05-CIP2	5453
37374 7590 02/19/2009 INSKEEP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP, INC 2281 W. 190TH STREET SUITE 200 TORRANCE, CA 90504			EXAMINER	
			MASHACK, MARK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

inskeepstaff@inskeeplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,299	COX ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARK MASHACK	3773
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 and 07 a</u>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing (s) filed on is/are; even as the property of the drawing (s) filed on is/are; even as the property of	awn from consideration. or election requirement. ner.	Evaminar
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a decision to the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to a communication dated 11/7/2008. Claims 1-12 have been cancelled. Claims 13-24 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 13 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Naglreiter (US 6,514,264).

Naglreiter discloses a method of deploying a filamentous endovascular device into a target vascular site, comprising the steps of: (a) providing an elongated, flexible, hollow deployment tube 116 having an open proximal end, distal section terminating in an open distal end, and a lumen 124 defined between the proximal and distal ends; (b) providing a filamentous endovascular device 106 and a coupling element 122 attached to the proximal end, the coupling element being releasably attached to the deployment tube adjacent the open distal end thereof, the coupling element being formed with a purge passage 126; (c) purging air from the by introducing a purging liquid through the lumen with a pressure sufficient to displace air from the lumen through the purge passage but not sufficient to separate the endovascular device from the deployment

Application/Control Number: 10/774,299 Page 3

Art Unit: 3773

tube (Column 5, Lines 43-53); (d) introducing the endovascular device intravascularly to the target vascular site while it is attached to the deployment tube; (e) injecting a liquid into the proximal end of the lumen at a pressure of at least about 30 kg/cm² to separate the endovascular device from the deployment tube in response to the liquid pressure applied to the coupling element through the open distal end of the deployment tube (Column 3, Lines 28-35 and Column 6, Lines 26-33). The coupling element is releasably held by a retention sleeve 108 fixed to the distal section of the deployment tube. The term "substantially" is a relative term applied to a large amount. The retention sleeve 108 is not deformed enough to permanently alter the structure of the sleeve. The purge passage comprises lumen 123 which is helical (FIG 2-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,299 Page 4

Art Unit: 3773

5. Claims 14 and 22-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naglreiter in view of Eder (US 6,063,070).

Naglreiter discloses all of the claimed limitations as disclosed above except for the steps of: (f) generating an electrical signal in response to the separation of the endovascular device from the deployment tube. However, Eder teaches of deployed an indicator circuit to monitor the progression of the coil detachment (Column 3, Lines 18-27). The coil would inherently be a component of the circuit and when the detachment of the coil would alter the circuit is some manner. All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Given the teachings of Eder, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Naglreiter with by generating an electrical signal in response of the separation of the endovascular device from the deployment tube. Doing so would provide feedback to the user of the separation.

6. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naglreiter in view of Ressemann et al. ("Ressemann" US 6,224,609).

Art Unit: 3773

Naglreiter discloses all of the claimed limitations as stated above including the purge passage being dimensioned so as to provide a substantial restriction to the flow therethrough of a liquid having a viscosity greater than or equal to a predetermined viscosity. However, Naglreiter does not explicitly disclose the use of a contrast agent. However, Ressemann discloses that it is commonly known in the art to use a combination of saline and a contrast agent in order to visualize an area in the vasculature (Column 8, Lines 16-18). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Given the teachings of Ressemann, it would have been obvious to provide a contrast agent with the saline of Naglreiter to provide assist in the tracking and visualization of the deployment device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK MASHACK whose telephone number is (571)270-3861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,299 Page 6

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Mashack/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773